

Evaluation of working package No. 5

Rising EU added value – part 1

The first survey for WP 5 was conducted online from end of September online. The project partners had to fill out the online questionnaire and had the opportunity to give an explanation. The questions are based on the planned activities and the objectives of each working package.

The following review is the result of this survey.

1. The evaluation

5 partners did answer this questionnaire.

All partners except one (again!) agree that the dissemination plan has been developed and is working successfully. For one the plan appears to be rather general. Also all partners say that the website is working. One partner comments that the dissemination must become more active and powerful and that two partners have to empower their local dissemination.

Four partners now confirm that they write and distribute local newsletter or they translate the general newsletter of the Spanish coordinators. This is a real improvement compared with the first evaluation on this issue.

Only one partner denies that he has created brochures for the local area, three partners involve now local media in the dissemination, but other partners have made publications in local newspapers and magazines.

The coordination with other and similar projects seems to be very difficult till now. The partners assess the achievement of the objectives of this question on a scale between 1 and 10 with 4 to 8 points and 6.9 in average. This is an improvement with 1.5 points. The individual questions and answers show results with a very wide range in the ranking. Some have significantly better and higher activities than 6 months ago. Again two partners are working hard and assess their achievement with 8 points, the others assess their engagement higher than 6 months ago.


Evaluation of working package No. 5 Rising EU added value – part 1

The exploitation plans are better structured than half a year ago. 4 partners are on a good way and say that they are well prepared for the time after the project. Unfortunately, one partner has not given a response to this questionnaire. From the perspective of the evaluator, however, this observed partner seems to have also prepared for the time after the project ends.

On the other hand all partners say that they are working hard on these tasks till now, because they built in their mid-term business strategy because they all depend in their economic success from this strategy.

2. Recommendations

- The coordinator now has to present the way of working with the best practise models in this network (for example on the official website)



Dieter Schulze
INIBIA EEIG
Welver-Dinker, 28th September 2013